

DRAFT ONLY

ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

DATE: September 4, 2007

CALLED TO ORDER: 5:05 p.m.

ADJOURNED: 6:39 p.m.

ATTENDANCE

Attending Members

Joanne Sanders, Chair

Paul Bateman

Vernon Brown

Lance Langsford

Lynn McWhirter

Jackie Nytes

Lincoln Plowman

Absent Members

AGENDA

BUDGET REVIEW and ANALYSIS

PROPOSAL NO. 340, 2007 - adopts the annual budget for the Revenue Bonds Debt Service Funds for 2008

"Do Pass as Amended"

Vote 7-0

PROPOSAL NO. 342, 2007 - adopts the annual budget for Indianapolis and Marion County for 2008 (Administration and Finance portion only)

"Postponed" until September 11, 2007

Vote 7-0

ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

The Administration and Finance Committee of the City-County Council met on Tuesday, September 4, 2007. Chair Joanne Sanders called the meeting to order at 5:05 p.m. with the following members present: Paul Bateman, Vernon Brown, Lance Langsford, Lynn McWhirter, Jackie Nytes, and Lincoln Plowman. Representing Council staff was Bart Brown, Chief Financial Officer (CFO).

Chair Sanders said that a review of the survey that the Council provided on-line and by mail will be given before hearing the proposals that are on the agenda.

Bart Brown said that the survey results are final as of September 4, 2007, and people can no longer participate in the survey but the results will still be available on-line for public view. He said that the latest results, of just over 1,000 responses, indicate that a few individuals feel that fire protection and law enforcement were unnecessary services that the City and the County provide. Overall, most people consider fire protection and police protection critical. Mr. Brown said that many of the services that are performed for public safety and criminal justice ranked high or critical. He also distributed a handout (attached as Exhibit A), which is a spreadsheet that shows the rank and average score of individual services. Mr. Brown stated that Exhibit A does not list every service that the city and county provide, but it lists the more high profile services and those of which the most money is spent. He said that the Information Services Agency (ISA) did a good job of ranking the services and detecting any change in rank from the first time the list was generated. The list indicates that many of the services had no change in rank. Mr. Brown said that the results from the survey can be used in the next couple of weeks to compare where money is being spent to where those who took the survey feel it should be spent. Mr. Brown said that ISA also examined the internet protocol (IP) or computer address of the surveys and there was only one instance where an IP address appeared 12 times, which could be the result of one individual completing the survey 12 times or of 12 individuals completing the survey in a public place such as the library. He said that it is not known if the surveys were completed in Marion County.

Councillor Nytes asked if ISA was able to discern if any of the responses were received from indygov addresses where it may be determined that employees or agencies may have been lobbying for their own agency's ranking. Shital Patel, Chief Information Officer, ISA, answered the information can be provided to the committee.

Councillor McWhirter asked what the "score" column indicates that is reflected on Exhibit A. Mr. Brown answered that on the survey agencies were scored (1) for the lowest and (5) for the highest or any number between. Exhibit A lists the average score received for each agency. Councillor McWhirter asked if there were more agencies than what is listed on Exhibit A. Mr. Brown answered in the negative. Councillor McWhirter asked if any agency ranked lower than one. Mr. Brown answered in the negative.

Chair Sanders asked Mr. Brown if he would like to discuss BudgetTalk. Mr. Brown answered in the affirmative. He stated that there were approximately 60 or 70 submissions to BudgetTalk and he is going to ask the Council Clerk and her staff to go through those submission to determine how many times the services listed on Exhibit A were addressed. Mr. Brown said that there were many good suggestions and some will be helpful when the Efficiency Commission is formed. He said that some of the submissions were requests for information and most of those have been answered by the Council staff. Mr. Brown said that the purpose of BudgetTalk is to try to determine how many times schools, spending cuts, and increased Public Safety spending is mentioned. He said that the information will

hopefully be available by the end of the week or early next week. Chair Sanders asked if there is an indication that most of the people who responded to BudgetTalk were those who wanted to make more comments after completing the survey. Mr. Brown answered that the amount of submissions dramatically increased after the survey was made available and a link was provided through the survey. He said that the two together were very helpful.

BUDGET REVIEW and ANALYSIS

PROPOSAL NO. 340, 2007 - adopts the annual budget for the Revenue Bonds Debt Service Funds for 2008

Jeff Seidenstein, Budget Manager, Controller's Office, said that there is an amendment to Proposal No. 340, 2007 (attached as Exhibit B). Mr. Seidenstein said that the amendment is a technical change to Section 2 (b), Revenue Bonds and can be found on page 3 of the ordinance. He said that the change is to increase the amount of wheel tax that is being allocated to this fund and reducing the transfer from the Transportation General by the same amount. He stated that previously wheel tax was deposited into the Transportation General Fund and \$1,972,530 was transferred into the Revenue Bonds Fund, but this change will allocate the wheel tax directly to the Revenue Bonds Fund and cease the transfer. Mr. Seidenstein said that the amendment does not make any change to the total revenues, the appropriations, or the 16-line statement for the fund.

Chair Sanders asked if the total amount of wheel tax is now reflected under the Wheel Tax line item as illustrated on Exhibit B. Mr. Seidenstein answered that the total amount of wheel tax for the Revenue Bonds Fund is reflected on Exhibit B as \$6.772 million and the balance of the wheel tax will be allocated to the Transportation General Fund. He said that the balance is \$6,927,470, of which is not detailed in this ordinance, but a total of under \$14 million is going to the Transportation General Fund.

Councillor McWhirter moved, seconded by Councillor Nytes, to amend Proposal No. 340, 2007 to reflect the changes as discussed and illustrated on Exhibit B. The motion carried by a vote of 7-0.

Chair Sanders asked Mr. Seidenstein to give a quick summary of any comparisons between the budget as it is being proposed and the current budget or any particular direction. Mr. Seidenstein said that there are no significant changes that come to his attention, but he does not have last year's budget available. Bob Clifford, City Controller, said that he can go through what Proposal No. 340, 2007 funds for 2008. Chair Sanders said that would be a good idea so that the audience could follow along. Mr. Clifford said that the proposal appropriates \$36 million for the Redevelopment Tax Increment Financing (TIF) Bonds; \$9,589,557 for Revenue Bonds; \$24,331,402 for Sanitation Revenue Bonds; \$3,222,088 Economic Development Revenue Bonds; and \$4,931,476 for Flood Control District Bonds.

Chair Sanders asked if the Sanitation Revenue Bonds will assist the City in continuing the projects that have been approved for combined sewer overflow (CSO) issues. Mr. Clifford answered in the affirmative, and stated that this appropriation is essentially to pay for bonds that currently exist and are outstanding by the City or the district. Mr. Brown commented that the \$4.9 million for Flood Control is totally funded by stormwater fees.

Chair Sanders stated that it is important to point out that the budget ordinances are not simple matters, there is a lot of information that is put forth. She said that the Committee has seen the original ordinance and it is fortunate that in this case the only changes are those reflected in the amendment.

She said that the councillors are trying to identify any possible issues with the information that is before them.

Mr. Clifford stated that none of the bonds are repaid with property taxes and there is no property tax rate associated with the bonds listed in the ordinance.

Councillor McWhirter asked what the Property Tax TIF Shortfall line item is that is listed in the Redevelopment TIF Revenue Bonds Fund. Mr. Clifford said that the TIF Shortfall fund was created in 2003 when the State first restructured taxes. The TIF Revenue Bonds were going to be shorted because the state was reducing the property tax levy for schools through Property Tax Replacement Credits (PTRC), which would have reduced the revenues of property taxes. He said that at one time the City had a levy of approximately \$9 million for PTRC TIF revenues and that appropriation is currently down to \$3.5 million. Councillor McWhirter stated that the State's actions caused the City to have a shortfall in the TIFs that was not anticipated, and she asked where the shortfall money comes from. Mr. Clifford answered that the shortfall money comes from a county-wide levy or property tax.

Councillor McWhirter asked what the Transfer to Redevelopment Debt Service in the Redevelopment TIF Revenue Bonds Fund represents. Mr. Brown said that the \$5.9 million is part of the TIF increment that goes from Ameriplex to the airport to pay off the United Airlines debt service and the Navel Air Workers Center (NAWC) debt service, which was part of the agreement that was developed when all the debt was refinanced in the late 1990s. He said that this helps reduce some of the property tax burden.

Robert Yahara, citizen, said that he does not live in Marion County but he participated in the survey as an owner of a business within the county because President Gray said that it was acceptable. Mr. Brown agreed and stated that the survey clearly asked for participation from homeowners and business owners. Mr. Yahara stated that his business is in Pike Township taxing district 600. He said that there was a \$100 million bond issued for pension debt retirement to be contained within the old city limits, and he asked Mr. Clifford if the bond is addressed in Proposal 340, 2007. Mr. Clifford said that those bonds are being repaid with County Option Income Taxes (COIT) and are addressed in Proposal No. 342, 2007. Mr. Yahara said that it is his understanding that the indebtedness of the \$100 million will be contained in the old city limits and not spread to the entire county. Mr. Clifford said by state law, property taxes outside the police and fire special service districts cannot be levied to pay the pension obligations for the old police and fire districts. He said that the pension bonds were issued in January, 2005, and there was a pledge of property taxes but it was actually funded out of COIT and has been since then. Mr. Yahara asked if the original intent was to repay the bonds from old city limit taxpayer's property taxes. Mr. Clifford answered in the negative, and stated that the option was simply discussed.

Councillor McWhirter stated that although the townships are not repaying the bonds through property taxes, income taxes in the townships are paying for the pensions. Therefore, the debt was spread to the townships. Mr. Brown stated that when the pension bonds were being done, the City had to allocate the amount collected within the old city limits versus the county. There is COIT collected within the old city limits and that amount far exceeded the amount of COIT that is going toward the pension bonds. He said that documentation can be submitted to the Committee that designates the amount that was collected in the inner city and is eligible to use for pension bonds and the COIT collected from outside the city limits that is going toward other functions. Councillor McWhirter said that COIT may

be collected from the inner city, but the COIT that is taken away from the inner city is being taken away from the townships and put toward something else. Mr. Brown said that the bonds could not be issued unless it was proven that more than \$9 million is being collected in COIT from the old city limits. Councillor McWhirter asked what the \$9 million went toward before the pension bonds were obtained. Mr. Brown said that the COIT rate was raised. Mr. Brown said that documentation will be provided to the Committee, as it cleared all the state regulations.

Councillor Nytes moved, seconded by Councillor Bateman, to forward Proposal No. 340, 2007 to the full Council with a "Do Pass as Amended" recommendation. The motion carried by a vote of 7-0.

PROPOSAL NO. 342, 2007 - adopts the annual budget for Indianapolis and Marion County for 2008 (Administration and Finance portion only)

Councillor McWhirter said that she would like to make a motion that Proposal No. 342, 2007 be postponed until the September 11, 2007 meeting, because if the Committee votes on the proposal the Township Assessors' budgets will also be included and their budgets have not yet been heard and reviewed by the Committee. Chair Sanders stated that for the record, the Committee is aware that there is another meeting scheduled and anything that would have been voted on at this meeting would have been qualified exclusive of the Assessors' budgets. She said that the Committee will listen to Mr. Clifford's recommendations, but the vote will be postponed until the next meeting. She said in addition to Mr. Clifford's recommendation, the Committee will continue to look at the comments from BudgetTalk and take all other factors into advisement, as there may be additional cuts discovered through the next week that the Committee may want to recommend. Chair Sanders said that she accepts Councillor McWhirter's motion, but asked if it can be deferred until the end of discussion. Councillor McWhirter agreed.

Mr. Clifford distributed a handout (attached as Exhibit C), which he described as a cut-down version of Proposal No. 342, 2007. He said that he will go through and try to describe the changes within the document. Chair Sanders asked if the copy of Proposal No. 342, 2007 that is included in the Committee's packet is the most up-to-date version. Mr. Brown answered that the Committee's packet includes the proposal as introduced at the full Council meeting on August 6, 2007, but Mr. Clifford will discuss changes to that original version as described in Exhibit C. He said that the changes are the shaded areas of the document. Chair Sanders asked if the amendment reflects the changes that will be incorporated into the full document. Mr. Brown answered in the affirmative. Councillor McWhirter asked if the changes reflect what has been discussed in the meetings over the last few weeks or if there are any new changes. Mr. Clifford answered that there are new changes. Councillor McWhirter asked Mr. Clifford to point out any new changes that have not been discussed.

Mr. Clifford said that the first proposed change is to reduce the Mayor's Office, Character 01, Personal Services (page 6 in the original document) from \$833,464 to \$798,573. This change reduces the Mayor's Office's total budget to \$945,224, which represents a total cut of 10% from the 2007 budget. Mr. Clifford said that the change is a reflection of the deductions offered by Justin Ohlemiller, Mayor's Office, in the Committee meeting. Mr. Brown commented that the Committee does not have a copy of the 2007 budget to confirm the 10% reduction to which Mr. Clifford is referring.

Mr. Clifford said that there are no proposed changes to the budget of the Internal Audit department. He said that the City-County Council changes are proposed changes offered by Jean Milharcic to

reduce Characters 02, 03 and 04. The Council's original proposed budget was \$1,762,475 and it is now proposed to be \$1,617,725, which is an 8.2% reduction over 2007.

Mr. Clifford said that there are no proposed changes to the Cable Communications Agency, Office of Corporation Counsel, and Office and Finance and Management (OFM) budgets. He said that the next proposed change is to Section H on page 3 of Exhibit C, which is the Department of Administration's (DOA) budget and reflects a reduction to Character 01, as offered by Sherlonda Anderson and as a result of transferring the originally outsourced Microfilm employees from the DOA to be in-sourced into the Clerk's budget. He said that these changes have been discussed in the Committee over the last few weeks. He said that there is a proposed increase of \$30,000 in Character 03, Other Services and Charges, which is a transfer of a consulting assignment that has to do with Human Resource (HR) matters from the County Auditor's Office to the DOA. Chair Sanders asked if Mr. Clifford is aware of the percentage amount that the increase represents. Mr. Clifford answered that it is a .4% reduction from 2007.

Councillor McWhirter asked how the consulting fee is used. Hope Tribble, Budget Manager, OFM, said that the consulting fee is for a deferred compensation (comp) plan. The contractor currently performs that work and is paid through the Auditor's Office, but the contract will now be managed by the City HR Division. Councillor McWhirter asked if it is to pay for the Deferred Compensation Plan. Mr. Clifford answered in the negative, and stated that it is consultants who offer suggested changes in investment to the Administrative Board of the City and the County for the Deferred Comp Plan (457 Plan) that is offered to employees. Collin Kebo, HR Administrator, said that the consultant is Joe Bill and he reviews and monitors the performance of all the funds and makes recommendations to the Administrative Board. Councillor McWhirter asked if the companies that manage the deferred comp programs should make those types of recommendations to the Administrative Board. Mr. Kebo answered in the negative, and stated that the funds are administered through American United Life (AUL). Councillor McWhirter asked about the program that the Firefighters have through Nationwide. Mr. Kebo answered that it is a separate fund that is offered through the Firefighter's union. Councillor McWhirter asked if the Nationwide fund has a consulting fee. Mr. Kebo answered in the negative. Councillor McWhirter asked why the city and county has one Deferred Comp fund that does not have consulting fees and one that has a \$30,000 consulting fee. Mr. Kebo answered that the AUL fund covers all of the city and county civilian employees and the Nationwide fund only covers the Firefighters. Councillor McWhirter asked why the city is using a fund of which a consulting fee is associated, and if it would be feasible to use the company to which no fee is associated for both civilian employees as well as Firefighters. Mr. Clifford commented that some plans include a financial advisor that recommends the best type of plan and works with the employees like Nationwide does, and that service is paid for with the fees that the company charges under the terms of that plan. In the case with the AUL plan, the fees are paid externally. Mr. Kebo added that HR will review that contract now that it has been transferred to their department to determine if the arrangement is appropriate. He said that is one of the reasons that HR asked to have the assignment transferred. Chair Sanders asked if HR had no control over how the assignment was historically administered due to it being managed by the Auditor's Office. Mr. Kebo answered in the affirmative. Chair Sanders stated that it makes more sense for that function to be an HR responsibility. Mr. Kebo said that one of their first requests to Mr. Bill was to receive a copy of the consulting contract so that his scope of services can be examined to determine all the services that he provides and if it is practical for Mr. Bill to continue providing those services. Chair Sanders asked Mr. Kebo if he is aware of Mr. Bill's contract

term. Mr. Kebo answered in the negative. Suzannah Overholt, Corporation Counsel, commented that the International Association of Firefighters administers the Nationwide fund.

Mr. Clifford said that Section 1.02 covers the City's General Sinking Fund, which includes approximately \$9.6 million of debt service for the currently outstanding pension obligation bonds and an estimated \$30 million of debt service for pension bonds if the City goes through with the Public Employee Retirement Fund (PERF) Pension Bonds.

Mr. Clifford said that the next change is reflected on page 4 of Exhibit C in the County Administrator's budget, Character 03, Other Services and Charges. He said that the amount originally included in the ordinance was \$12,810,542 and the proposed change is exactly half of that amount (\$6,405,271) because OFM's accumulators doubled the amount in the original ordinance. Chair Sanders asked if it was a technical error. Mr. Clifford answered in the affirmative.

Mr. Clifford said that the next proposed change is on page 5 of Exhibit C in the County Auditor's budget, which is a reduction from \$3,957,772 to \$3,927,772 or \$30,000 due to the transfer of the consulting assignment to HR. He said that there was also a reduction in Character 03, Other Services, and Charges, and an increase in Character 01, Personal Services, at the request of the Auditor because of the desire to move some of the operations from being outsourced to being handled internally by the department.

Councillor Nytes said that the amount change for the County Administrator, Character 03, Other Services and Charges, is good news, but she would also like to see it reflected in the Budget Book. Mr. Clifford said that the figures are correct in the Budget Book, the problem only occurred in the ordinance.

Mr. Clifford said that page 6 of Exhibit C reflects a reduction from \$2,556,200 to \$2,403,700 in the County Recorder's proposed budget. He said that the reductions were offered by the County Recorder and reviewed by the Committee. The total budget of the County Treasurer increases from \$3,847,378 to \$4,092,278 to account for the additional workload that will be encountered by the County Treasurer's office in 2008 for three mailings of tax bills and the distribution of Form 11s.

Mr. Clifford said that page 7 of Exhibit C reflects an insert of a summary of an appropriation for the County Election Board to appropriate \$2,247,762 in the Title III Requirement Fund for voting machines. He said that this appropriation was in the Budget Book, but did not appear as a separate appropriation in the ordinance. Mr. Clifford said that these are federal funds that are passed through the State for the voting machines in relation to the Help America Vote Act (HAVA). Chair Sanders asked what the money will cover. Mr. Clifford answered that it will pay the 2008 debt service payment on the voting machines.

Councillor Plowman asked what the balance is of the voting machines. Mr. Clifford answered that the balance will be approximately \$5 million after the \$2.2 million is paid. Councillor Langsford asked if the City will continue to get money from the State to pay for the debt service of Title III Requirement Fund. Mr. Clifford answered in the negative, and stated that initially it was assumed that the machines would cost \$11 million and the State would reimburse \$9 million, but the City was required to buy additional disability accessible machines because of the HAVA Act that totaled \$13 million and the State reimbursed \$7 million. Councillor Langsford asked if funds will need to be found again in 2009

to make the payment for the machines. Mr. Clifford answered that this year's budget funded the COIT notes that the City had and from those funds that will be available next year, money should also be available to pay off the debt of the voting machines.

Mr. Clifford said that there is a technical change in Article Two as shown on page 7 of Exhibit C. The change reflects striking out the words "of Fiscal Ordinance No. _____, 2007." He said that there are additional changes at the top and bottom of page eight, of which some of the words are stricken and additional wording is added. Also, in the Consolidated County Fund, All Other Revenue, \$1,348,908 is transferred to the Marion County ISA Fund to pay for the Acella Automation. He said that the transfer has already been appropriated, but was included in the original budget due to an oversight.

Councillor Nytes asked Mr. Clifford to review the notation of the fund balances at the end of 2007 and what it means. She said that there are things listed such as the Rainy Day Fund and the Housing Trust Fund, and she asked if it suggests that the balances of those funds are available for other purposes. Mr. Clifford said that all of the funds listed at the top of page 8 are sub-funds of the Consolidated County Fund. Councillor Nytes asked if those funds are distinct funds that cannot be used for other things, such as sidewalks being paid for through the Housing Trust Fund. Mr. Clifford answered in the affirmative, but stated that there are a few of the funds in which revenues can be reallocated by the Council such as the Department of Public Works (DPW) General Fund and the Department of Metropolitan Development (DMD) General Fund.

Chair Sanders asked if the Rainy Day Fund is the fund that the City is required to establish with two-tenths of the COIT dollars. Mr. Clifford answered in the negative, and stated that the Rainy Day Fund of which Chair Sanders is referring will be in the County General Fund. Chair Sanders asked if the Rainy Day Fund of the Consolidated County Fund is an existing fund. Mr. Clifford answered that it is existing to the extent that the State does not give 100% of the COIT that is collected, some of the revenues are held in reserve.

Mr. Clifford said that the changes on page 9 reflect that some wording was stricken from the City Cumulative (Cum) Capital Development Fund because the descriptions are not needed. Councillor Nytes asked if the County Option Income Tax refers to the previous tax that increased to 1% this summer and if the Local Option Income Tax refers to the new tax that the Council passed to begin replacing property tax. Mr. Clifford answered in the affirmative, and stated that the Public Safety Tax (PST), which is not included in the presentation, can only be used for Public Safety purposes. Councillor Nytes asked if that tax is part of the Local Option Income Tax. Mr. Clifford answered in the affirmative. Councillor Nytes asked if the numerous vessels of money will continue to be distinguished by the various titles so that the people can see that the Council has followed through on what they proposed. Mr. Clifford answered in the affirmative.

Councillor McWhirter asked if the Local Option Income Tax is the .65% increase that the Council raised. Mr. Clifford answered in the negative, and stated that it is .2% of the .65%, which raises approximately \$36 million of which the City receives \$11.8 million. He said that it funds Trustees and all civil governments. Councillor McWhirter asked where the other .45% increase is shown in the budget and if it is called Local Option Income Tax. Mr. Clifford answered that it is the PST and is allocated into the Indianapolis Metropolitan Police Department (IMPD), the County General and the Fire Pension. He added that the PST was not only provided to the City of Indianapolis and Marion County, but it was also provided to the cities of Beech Grove, Speedway, Lawrence and Southport.

Councillor Nytes commented that although everyone did not vote for the .65% increase, she hopes that all the units of government that will benefit from those who sacrificed to find some alternatives to property taxes to help fund all units of local government will appreciate what was done.

Mr. Clifford said that \$76,708,657 was allocated to the PST Fund, of which \$38,804,000 went into the IMPD Fund, \$5,904,657 went in to the Fire Pension Trust Fund, and \$32,000,000 went into the County General Fund. He said that the County General Fund will be shown separately so that it can be allocated as a special index into the Sheriff, the Courts, and the Prosecutor. Councillor McWhirter asked where the \$5 million that will be given away in grants is. Mr. Clifford answered that it is in the County General Budget.

[Clerk's note: Councillor Brown left at 5:54 p.m.]

Mr. Clifford said that other changes to the City Cum Capital Development Fund include a transfer from the Marion County Cum Fund of \$785,000, a transfer to the Civil City Sinking Fund of \$200,000, and a transfer from Warren Township Fire Department Cum Fund of \$758,997, all of which were left off of the original ordinance. He said that the Warren Township Fire Department Cum Fund came over with the merger.

Mr. Clifford said that there is a significant change in the City General Sinking Fund, as the County Option Income Tax (for pension debt service) is allocated to the fund for the new PERF Pension funds and the ABC Gallonage Tax of \$5,800,000 was changed from originally being shown in the first half of 2008 line items, but is actually a second half of 2007 receipt. Also, a transfer from the Pension Stabilization Fund (8PA) of \$12,861,061 is a change from what was introduced to draw down the final portion of the 2005 pension obligation bonds. He said that essentially, some of the proceeds in this fund will pay off some of the debt service.

Mr. Clifford said that the change in the Redevelopment District Sinking Fund reflects that the Miscellaneous (one time) line item has been stricken and replaced with the Payment from United Airlines to clearly identify what will be used to pay for the redevelopment district sinking funds in 2008. He said that the transfer from the Ameriplex TIF is also reflected in this fund.

Chair Sanders asked if the City has any other recourse against United Airlines beyond the payment. Mr. Clifford answered that he is unsure but can provide that information to the Committee at a later date.

Councillor McWhirter asked what the Redevelopment Sinking Fund is and why is \$7 million going in this particular fund. Mr. Clifford answered that this fund includes the repayment of the debt associated with the United Airlines Maintenance Facility. Councillor McWhirter asked if the full \$7 million will go towards repaying that debt. Mr. Clifford answered in the affirmative.

Councillor Nytes stated that there is a reference to a Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOT) from Waterworks and asked if there are any other PILOTS included in the budget. Mr. Clifford answered that Sanitation has a PILOT and there is also one from Indiana University Purdue University at Indianapolis (IUPUI) that was negotiated in 1986 by Fred Armstrong for Fire Protection at IUPUI as an initiative to the fees for services. Councillor Nytes asked if IUPUI's PILOT is still on the books. Mr. Clifford answered in the affirmative. Councillor Nytes asked if the City is involved in any

ongoing discussions with any other not-for-profit entities about the development of PILOTs. Mr. Clifford said that he is not aware of any discussions at this time. Councillor Nytes stated that perhaps some time can be spent further exploring that concept and talking with members of the City staff about possibilities of PILOTs as a matter for the parking lot topic. Chair Sanders agreed, and stated that an all-out effort was made in 2001 to try to secure some additional PILOTs, one of which was with the airport. She said that she recalls having the unfortunate events of 9-11, which caused the dip in the airline industry and ended that particular discussion. She said that she believes that it should be explored and she would entertain conversation at the Administration and Finance Committee meetings to accomplish that task. Mr. Clifford said that the City also receives a PILOT from the Health and Hospital Corporation of \$1.1 million. Chair Sanders asked if that would be included in the Municipal Corporations portion of the budget. Mr. Clifford answered in the affirmative.

Mr. Clifford said that the next proposed change is reflected in the County General Fund on page 12 of Exhibit C. He said that the ABC Gallonage 2007 distribution line item was taken out because it was no longer needed due to the change in the City General Sinking Fund. There is a change to the Stormwater Penalty Fees line item because it was originally typed into the ordinance as \$13,000, but it is estimated to be \$130,000. Mr. Clifford said that page 13 illustrates that the Non-Capital Case Reimbursement increased, as it reflects revenues from the State for reimbursement for the Public Defender. The change is based on information provided by Public Defender Dave Cook and Debbie Green. Chair Sanders asked if those reimbursements are relative to the case loads of the attorneys with the Public Defender's Agency and if the reimbursement amount increased because the agency has been funded to have additional attorneys. Mr. Clifford said that he believes that the Mr. Cook's original estimate was based on how many counties he thought would participate statewide and that some counties dropped out because they felt that the program was too expensive to receive reimbursements and therefore, did not try to meet the standards of the Public Defender Counselor.

Mr. Clifford said that the Transfer to other funds line item has been revised in the County General Fund, Local Government transfers, and the Dispatch Reimbursement (by MECA) line item was increased by approximately \$1 million, which goes into the County General for the Sheriff's Communications staff. Councillor Nytes commented that this section also clearly illustrates that the jail bed loan that was obtained from City Sanitation will be repaid as planned.

Chair Sanders asked if the Investment Interest line item under the "Other" section reflects the investment interest that is generated by the Treasurer's Office as they invest revenue collections. Mr. Clifford answered in the affirmative, and stated that it also includes some other minor interest amounts that are collected by other elected officials that have their own funds, such as the County Clerk and the County Recorder.

Mr. Clifford said that "Restitution" was added and the amount of the "Rental of County Property" was revised in the "Other" section as well. He said that the total of Miscellaneous revenue estimated for the remainder of 2007 is \$68,967,530 and for all of 2008 is \$108,995,967. Mr. Seidenstein said that there is a fairly significant change from the original ordinance as proposed, as there were two line items marked "other", the first one showed a negative \$6,787,543 for the remainder of 2007, but that was a mistake that has been taken out in the amended version. The second one originally showed \$22,900 for the second half of 2007 and \$40,600 for 2008, and have also been taken out because they were mistakes as well. Chair Sanders asked if the 2008 amount is technically less than the total for 2007. Mr. Clifford said that he is not sure if that can be determined because the Dispatch

Reimbursement (by MECA) has not yet occurred and the Transfer from City (Consolidated County Fund) is not a recurring item. Mr. Clifford asked if Chair Sanders is referring to the difference between the total Other for the remainder of 2007 and for all of 2008. Chair Sanders answered that the \$5.7 million of Other through December, 2007, the annual estimate for 2008 reflects a decrease, and stated that she understands that it can be in part due to some non-recurring revenue. Mr. Seidenstein said that is a factor, but he also believes that the second half of 2007 is higher because some of the other revenues from Miscellaneous taxes, such as Auto Excise Tax and The Financial Institution Tax will not be received until the second half of 2007 because of the lag in collections of property taxes.

Councillor Nytes asked, with all the delayed collections, if the money that the State distributes to the City, such as Auto Excise, has been based on 2006 or 2007 numbers. Mr. Clifford answered that it is not yet known. Mr. Brown said that the Auto Excise is based upon collections by the Bureau of Motor Vehicles (BMV) and the number of vehicles registered. However, the Treasurer had to make some determination on the pro-rata, which was based on 2006 numbers. Therefore, the money comes in based on vehicles that are registered. Councillor Nytes stated the difficulties with collections will not change the total collection, but asked if 2006 numbers are being used for the distribution of the Auto Excise. Mr. Brown answered in the affirmative, and stated that all of the excise is not being distributed as some is being held for when the final 2007 calculation is received in 2008.

Mr. Clifford said that a transfer of \$1 million is shown out of the Auditor's Endorsement Fee Fund to ISA for the Property System, which has been appropriated by the Council. He said this has already been appropriated but was simply left out of the original ordinance. Mr. Seidenstein said that the adjustment in the Local Emergency Planning and Right to Know Fund is a result of the original amounts inadvertently being doubled. He said that the ordinance originally showed the revenues as \$54,000 for the remainder of 2007 and \$100,000 for 2008.

Mr. Clifford said that the changes on page 14 reflect all the transfers from the various funds that are being used to pay for the new property system and Acella. Mr. Clifford commented that all of the applications have been appropriated by the Council. He said that additional appropriations to ISA for the property system are reflected on page 15 in the form of \$400,000 from the Enhanced Access Fund and \$500,000 from the County Sales Disclosure Fund. Additionally, the Auditors' Endorsement Fee Fund is stricken because it was inadvertently put into the ordinance twice. Mr. Clifford said that the next several pages of the amendment are the 16-line statements, which reflect changes to balances based on the changes previously discussed. He said that he believes that the approval of the 16-line statements should wait until the Assessor's portion is also available. However, the proposed fund balances of each of the funds that have been discussed are at the bottom of each of the 16-line statements. Mr. Clifford said that the proposed changes have an impact on every fund balance, but the largest impact is a \$6 million increase proposed fund balance for the Consolidated County Fund and a \$3.7 million proposed fund balance for the County General Fund. Chair Sanders asked if those fund balances are in better shape than they were prior to the various cuts recommended by the OFM. Mr. Clifford answered in the affirmative.

[Clerk's note: Councillor Brown returned at 6:20 p.m.]

Mr. Clifford asked if the Committee would like to review some of the changes to the Full Time Equivalents (FTEs). Chair Sanders answered in the affirmative. Mr. Seidenstein said that the first changes are reflected on pages 103 and 104 of Proposal No. 342, 2007. Mr. Clifford said that the first

change is to decrease the Department of Administration's FTEs from 48 to 45; the second is the increase the Auditor's FTEs from 29 to 31; and to increase the Treasurer's Part Time/Seasonal/FTEs from five to six.

Mr. Clifford asked Mr. Brown if he wants to postpone talking about Section 403, the Allocation of COIT Revenue, until September 11, 2007. Mr. Brown answered in the affirmative, and stated that the allocation amounts are not correct on the amendment or in the original ordinance. Mr. Clifford went through and verbally stated that the allocation numbers should be as follows:

- \$16,822,492 to the County General Fund
- \$57,790,000 to the Metropolitan Police Department General Fund
- \$14.3 million to the Fire Special Service District Fund
- \$21,378,657 to the City General Sinking Fund (for pension debt service)
- \$6,908,657 to the Fire Pension Fund
- \$22,010,000 to the Police Pension Fund
- \$0 to the City Cum Capital Fund
- \$6.9 million to the Consolidated County General Fund, which will be the place holder for vehicles

Councillor McWhirter asked if the \$22,010,000 is from COIT Revenue. Mr. Clifford answered in the negative, and stated that it is from the Pension Obligation Fund. Councillor McWhirter asked what the \$21.3 million for pension debt service is. Mr. Clifford answered that it is PERF Pension Bonds that have been discussed, but are not yet a reality. Mr. Brown asked if it is a combination of repaying the \$100 million and the anticipation of the issuance of the \$450 million. Mr. Clifford answered that it is only the \$450 million. Councillor McWhirter asked where the repayment of the \$100 million past pension obligation is. Chair Sanders answered that it was reflected in the Revenue Bonds. Mr. Brown added that COIT is not used for any of the pension obligation bonds because the City is using the last of the proceeds. Councillor McWhirter asked if the last of the proceeds is going to pay the debt. Mr. Clifford answered in the affirmative.

Councillor Nytes asked if the IUPUI PILOT that was previously mentioned should be listed with the other PILOTS in Section 4.04, Sub-section A. Mr. Clifford answered that it is listed as a fee for services. Councillor Nytes said that she appreciated that OFM went back to show all of the revenue sources for the additional appropriations that were done for the two major software packages. She said that she believes that information provides the most complete and accurate picture of the numbers.

Chair Sanders restated that she will entertain a motion to postpone Proposal No. 342, 2007 until next week because it gives the Committee the time to study and compare the many pages of the original ordinance and the recommended changes. She said that the changes are predominantly reductions, which have allowed the Committee to increase fund balances in several areas. She said that it is the hope that the City may be in a position to provide some additional property tax relief with stable fund balances and a third tax payment coming due for 2007 in 2008, but it depends on the recommendations that the State will have for the State Legislature in January, 2008 and how quickly those recommendations will become effective. Chair Sanders said that those are the things that the Committee will take into consideration while looking over the documents between now and next Tuesday.

Councillor McWhirter asked if the Committee will have the opportunity to look at the figures for the Township Assessors before September 11, 2007. Mr. Clifford answered that he hopes to have the figures ready to be viewed by the end of the week, and stated that the figures will not look substantially different than last year's. However, there will be less money coming out of the County Reassessment Fund. Chair Sanders said that it is her understanding that Mr. Clifford will make every effort to ensure that the Council receives the complete ordinance as soon as possible after all of the Committees have met and passed their portions of the budget. She said that Proposal No. 342, 2007 represents the bulk of the budget that the Council has to pass. Mr. Clifford said that Mr. Brown has a copy of the entire ordinance with the proposed changes to date, he said that there may be some additional changes, but it can be made available for anyone that wants a copy of that version to look over.

Councillor McWhirter moved, seconded by Councillor Brown, to "Postpone" Proposal No. 342, 2007 until September 11, 2007. The motion carried by a vote of 7-0. Chair Sanders stated that the Committee will also revisit, line-by-line, what has been discussed tonight.

Mr. Yahara expressed his support of the Committee's efforts to include the public in their meetings. He spoke about the need to look at the tax rates for each township and how revenues are collected for each. Mr. Yahara asked, with respect to poor relief, if the Trustee's Office has funds to pay rent for those who cannot afford to pay it. Councillor McWhirter answered in the affirmative, and stated that mortgage payments are also paid for anyone in need. Mr. Yahara asked if assistance is available for tax payments. Councillor McWhirter answered in the negative, but stated that if the tax payment is included in the mortgage payment through escrow, then only that portion of the tax will be paid for the thirty day period. However, the Trustee is not able to assist in paying for a tax bill that has been brought into the office by an individual. Mr. Yahara asked why there is not a poor relief category in Pike Township and Warren Township. Councillor Brown answered that Warren Township had enough money in the reserve to stop taxing a while ago. Therefore, money was simply spent from the interest. Councillor McWhirter stated that some townships fund their poor relief with the COIT that is collected. Mr. Yahara asked why Beech Grove has a rate under the Police Pension category. Mr. Clifford answered that it is to fund their police and fire department.

With no further business pending, and upon motion duly made, the Administration and Finance Committee of the City-County Council was adjourned at 6:39 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Joanne Sanders, Chair
Administration and Finance Committee

Change	8/27/07 Rank	8/31/07 Rank	9/4/07 Rank	Item	Score
0	1	1	1	1 Law Enforcement	4.46846
0	2	2	2	2 Fire Protection	4.337662
0	3	3	3	3 E911	4.248609
0	4	4	4	4 Streets	4.203154
0	5	5	5	5 Sanitary Sewers	4.156772
0	6	6	6	6 Jail	4.049165
0	7	7	7	7 Court Services	4.041744
1	9	8	8	8 Prosecutor	4.003711
-1	8	9	9	9 Trash Collection	3.998145
0	10	10	10	10 Stormwater	3.903525
0	11	11	11	11 Traffic Control	3.778293
0	12	12	12	12 Child Welfare	3.758813
2	15	13	13	13 Juvenile Detention	3.705009
0	14	14	14	14 Crime Lab	3.699443
-2	13	15	15	15 Environ Services	3.679035
0	16	16	16	16 Health Dept	3.587199
0	17	17	17	17 Emergency Mgmt	3.564007
1	19	18	18	18 Jail Alternatives	3.53525
-1	18	19	19	19 Parks	3.520891
0	20	20	20	20 Wishard Hospital	3.458256
0	21	21	21	21 Libraries	3.391829
0	22	22	22	22 Public Defender	3.364564
0	23	23	23	23 Compliance	3.306122
2	26	24	24	24 Voter Registration	3.294991
-1	24	25	25	25 Coroner	3.289425
2	28	26	26	26 Clerk of Court	3.268089
-2	25	27	27	27 Recycling	3.25974
0	27	28	28	28 Subsidy - Elderly	3.231911
0	29	29	29	29 Regional Trans Planning	3.22377
0	30	30	30	30 Guardian Home	3.199443
2	33	32	31	31 Election Board	3.191095
-1	31	31	32	32 Recreation Opportunity	3.188487
-1	32	33	33	33 Auditor	3.164193
0	34	34	34	34 Recorder	3.16141
0	35	35	35	35 Current Planning	3.150278
0	36	36	36	36 Probate Court	3.12616
0	37	37	37	37 Animal Care Control	3.090909
2	40	38	38	38 Airport Authority	3.061224
-1	38	39	39	39 IndyGo	3.054731
-1	39	40	40	40 Treasurer	3.053803
0	41	41	41	41 Comp Planning	3.039889
0	42	42	42	42 Assessor	2.987013
0	43	43	43	43 Econ Development	2.979592
1	45	44	44	44 Mayor's Action Center	2.92115
-1	44	45	45	45 Surveyor	2.908163
0	46	46	46	46 Convention Center	2.880223
0	47	47	47	47 Neighborhood Liaison	2.823748
0	48	48	48	48 Historic Preservation	2.795918
0	49	49	49	49 Subsidy - Low Income Family	2.727273
2	52	50	50	50 Support for Arts	2.709378
-1	50	51	51	51 Township Assessor	2.706865
-1	51	52	52	52 Low Income Housing	2.674397
0	53	53	53	53 Charter Schools	2.566388
0	54	54	54	54 County Fair	2.12442
0	55	55	55	55 Sports Venues	2.066852

Proposal No 340, 2007
MOTION TO AMEND

Madam Chairwoman:

respectfully move to amend Proposal No. 340, 2007, as introduced and before this committee, by revisions to section 2 (b) as shown below.

(b) **REVENUE BONDS** The Revenue Bonds for 2008 shall consist of all balances at the end of fiscal 2007 available for transfer into said fund, those distribution of taxes allocated by the state pledged for retirement of debt and interest payment, all pledged revenues of various municipal golf courses, a transfer from the Community Development Block Grant fund, Transportation General Fund, and all other miscellaneous revenues derived from said Fund, all of which does not involve a general tax levy for the City.

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES REVENUE BONDS FUND FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008		
ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
ALL OTHER REVENUE		
Wheel Tax	2,400,000	4,800,000 6,772,530
Fees for service	232,200	344,400
Interest	9,000	11,000
Community Development Block Grant (transfer)	194,597	440,000
Facility Improvement Lease payments	1,222,137	1,466,595
Transfer from City Cumulative Fund	255,000	510,000
Transfer from Transportation General	986,265	1,972,530 -
Transfer from Redevelopment General		100,000
Transfer to/from Park General	30,000	(50,000)
TOTAL	5,329,199	9,594,525

The original information is shown as stricken (e.g: ~~stricken~~) and the new information to replace the stricken portion is highlighted.

Councilor

Date: September 4, 2007

MOTION TO AMEND

Madam Chair:

I HEREBY MOVE that the version of Proposal No. 342, 2007, currently before this committee, be amended by the amounts stricken and highlighted, attached hereto and incorporated herein.

Councillor

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. , 2007

A FISCAL ORDINANCE adopting the City-County Annual Budget for 2008, appropriating amounts necessary to defray expenses for the operation of every facet of government of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and of Marion County, for the calendar year beginning January 1, 2008, and ending December 31, 2008, establishing the method of financing such expenses by allocating anticipated revenues and expenses, establishing salaries, wages and compensation rates and limitations for the purpose of raising revenue to meet the necessary expenses of Indianapolis and of Marion County government and its institutions for the calendar year 2008.

ARTICLE ONE

ANNUAL BUDGET AND TAX LEVIES FOR THE CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND
MARION COUNTY, INDIANA

SECTION 1.01. Consolidated City Appropriations for 2008.

For the expenses of government of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and its departments, divisions, officials, special taxing districts, and institutions for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007, and ending December 31, 2007, the sums of money set out in this Section are hereby appropriated out of the respective funds, namely the Consolidated County Fund, Federal Grants Fund, Non-Lapsing Federal Grants Fund, Redevelopment General Fund, Sanitation Liquid Waste Fund, State Grants Fund, Non-Lapsing State Grants Fund, Solid Waste Disposal Fund, Flood Control General Fund, Maintenance Operations Fund, Transportation General Fund, Parking Meter Fund, Stormwater Management Fund, Park General Fund, City Cumulative Capital Development Fund, and Consolidated County Cumulative Capital Development Fund for the purposes herein specified, subject to the laws governing the same. The sums so appropriated shall be held to include all such expenditures authorized to be made during the year, unless otherwise expressly stipulated and provided by law.

2008 ANNUAL BUDGET		
	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(a) OFFICE OF THE MAYOR	CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND	
1. Personal Services	833,464	798,573
2. Supplies	646	646
3. Other Services and Charges	145,505	145,505

4. Capital Outlay	0	0
5. Internal Charges	500	500
TOTAL	980,115	945,224

2008 ANNUAL BUDGET		
	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(b) INTERNAL AUDIT	CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND	
1. Personal Services	707,287	707,287
2. Supplies	3,030	3,030
3. Other Services and Charges	124,003	124,003
4. Capital Outlay	2,500	2,500
5. Internal Charges	2,900	2,900
TOTAL	839,720	839,720

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(c) CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL	CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND	
1. Personal Services	1,128,651	1,128,651
2. Supplies	7,100	4,500
3. Other Services and Charges	614,424	483,274
4. Capital Outlay	12,300	1,300
5. Internal Charges	0	0
TOTAL	1,762,475	1,617,725

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(d) CABLE COMMUNICATIONS AGENCY	CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND	
1. Personal Services	438,542	438,542
2. Supplies	14,030	14,030
3. Other Services and Charges	249,308	249,308
4. Capital Outlay	36,000	36,000
5. Internal Charges	1,090	1,090
TOTAL	738,970	738,970

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(e) OFFICE OF CORPORATION COUNSEL	CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND	
1. Personal Services	2,702,573	2,702,573
2. Supplies	6,500	6,500
3. Other Services and Charges	1,951,339	1,951,339
4. Capital Outlay	5,000	5,000
5. Internal Charges	(1,710,047)	(1,710,046)
TOTAL	2,955,365	2,955,366

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(f) OFFICE OF FINANCE & MANAGEMENT	CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND	
1. Personal Services	3,204,126	3,204,126
2. Supplies	9,155	9,155
3. Other Services and Charges	2,129,643	2,129,643
4. Capital Outlay	7,601	7,601
5. Internal Charges	64,503	64,503
TOTAL	5,415,028	5,415,028

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(f) OFFICE OF FINANCE & MANAGEMENT	CITY CUMULATIVE CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT FUND	
1. Personal Services	0	0
2. Supplies	0	0
3. Other Services and Charges	35,000	35,000
4. Capital Outlay	0	0
5. Internal Charges	0	0
TOTAL	35,000	35,000

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(h) DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION	CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND	
1. Personal Services	2,805,532	2,719,944
2. Supplies	32,395	32,395
3. Other Services and Charges	1,160,149	1,190,149
4. Capital Outlay	7,500	7,500
5. Internal Charges	117,531	117,531
TOTAL	4,123,107	4,067,519

SECTION 1.02 Appropriations for City Sinking Funds for 2008.

For purposes of paying the principal and interest due on the outstanding bonded and other indebtedness of the Consolidated City and its special taxing districts, there are hereby appropriated for 2008 the respective sums hereinafter set forth for the respective funds:

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(a) CITY GENERAL SINKING FUND		
3. Other Services and Charges	39,661,061	39,661,061
TOTAL	39,661,061	39,661,061

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(b) REDEVELOPMENT DISTRICT SINKING FUND		
3. Other Services and Charges	20,933,212	20,933,212
TOTAL	20,933,212	20,933,212

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(c) SANITARY DISTRICT SINKING FUND		
3. Other Services and Charges	8,570,348	8,570,348
TOTAL	8,570,348	8,570,348

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(d) METROPOLITAN THOROUGHFARE DISTRICT SINKING FUND		
3. Other Services and Charges	6,383,766	6,383,766
TOTAL	6,383,766	6,383,766

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(e) METROPOLITAN PARK DISTRICT SINKING FUND		
3. Other Services and Charges	3,387,728	3,387,728
TOTAL	3,387,728	3,387,728

SECTION 1.03 For the expenses of certain Constitutional Officers of Marion County government and its institutions for the calendar year beginning January 1, 2008, and ending December 31, 2008, the sums of money set out in this section are hereby appropriated and ordered set apart out of the County General Fund, Property Reassessment Fund, County Recorder's Perpetuation Fund, Cumulative Capital Development Fund, Surveyor's Corner Perpetuation Fund, Local Emergency Planning and Right To Know Fund, Auditor's Endorsement Fee Fund, and Enhanced Access Fund for the purposes herein specified, subject to the laws governing the same. The sums so appropriated shall be held to include all such expenditures authorized to be made during said calendar year, unless otherwise expressly stipulated and provided by law.

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(a) COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR	COUNTY GENERAL FUND	
1. Personal Services	0	0
2. Supplies	0	0
3. Other Services and Charges	12,810,542	6,405,271
4. Capital Outlay	0	0
TOTAL	12,810,542	6,405,271

The County Administrator's character 3 appropriations stated above include the following amounts which are restricted for the purposes stated below:

For the Marion County Fair Board - \$80,000
For the Noble Centers - \$1,050,000
For Mental Health Centers - \$4,128,446

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR	LOCAL EMERGENCY PLANNING AND RIGHT TO KNOW FUND	
1. Personal Services	0	0
2. Supplies	0	0
3. Other Services and Charges	100,000	100,000
4. Capital Outlay	0	0
TOTAL	100,000	100,000

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR	JUVENILE INCARCERATION DEBT SERVICE FUND	
1. Personal Services	0	0
2. Supplies	0	0
3. Other Services and Charges	19,890,951	19,890,951
4. Capital Outlay	0	0
TOTAL	19,890,951	19,890,951

COUNTY AUDITOR	COUNTY GENERAL FUND	
1. Personal Services	2,042,161	2,125,161
2. Supplies	9,500	9,500
3. Other Services and Charges	1,904,111	1,791,111
4. Capital Outlay	2,000	2,000
TOTAL	3,957,772	3,927,772

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
COUNTY AUDITOR	AUDITOR'S ENDORSEMENT FEE FUND	
1. Personal Services	0	0
2. Supplies	0	0
3. Other Services and Charges	0	0
4. Capital Outlay	0	0
TOTAL	0	0

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
--	---	---

(c) COUNTY COMMISSIONERS	COUNTY GENERAL FUND	
1. Personal Services	0	0
2. Supplies	700	700
3. Other Services and Charges	20,800	20,800
4. Capital Outlay	0	0
TOTAL	21,500	21,500

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(e) COUNTY RECORDER	COUNTY RECORDER'S PERPETUATION FUND	
1. Personal Services	1,442,526	1,442,526
2. Supplies	21,893	21,893
3. Other Services and Charges	678,781	626,281
4. Capital Outlay	413,000	313,000
TOTAL	2,556,200	2,403,700

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(f) COUNTY TREASURER	COUNTY GENERAL FUND	
1. Personal Services	1,278,040	1,364,440
2. Supplies	23,275	23,775
3. Other Services and Charges	2,546,063	2,704,063
4. Capital Outlay	0	0
TOTAL	3,847,378	4,092,278

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
COUNTY TREASURER	ENHANCED ACCESS FUND	
1. Personal Services	100,000	100,000
2. Supplies	0	0
3. Other Services and Charges	0	0
4. Capital Outlay	0	0
TOTAL	100,000	100,000

SECTION 1.04. Marion County Administrative Appropriations for 2008

For the expenses of certain administrative agencies of the Marion County government and its institutions for the calendar year beginning January 1, 2008, and ending December 31, 2008, the sums of money set out in this section are hereby appropriated and ordered set apart out of the County General Fund, Property Reassessment Fund, and Information Services Internal Services Fund for the purposes herein specified, subject to the laws governing the same. The sums so appropriated shall be held to include all such expenditures authorized to be made during said calendar year, unless otherwise expressly stipulated and provided by law.

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
--	---	---

(a) COUNTY ELECTION BOARD	COUNTY GENERAL FUND	
1. Personal Services	1,151,841	1,151,841
2. Supplies	80,570	80,570
3. Other Services and Charges	1,593,695	1,593,695
4. Capital Outlay	9,000	9,000
TOTAL	2,835,106	2,835,106

COUNTY ELECTION BOARD	TITLE III REQUIREMENT FUND	
1. Personal Services		
2. Supplies		
3. Other Services and Charges		2,247,762
4. Capital Outlay		
TOTAL		2,247,762

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(b) VOTER'S REGISTRATION	COUNTY GENERAL FUND	
1. Personal Services	709,176	709,176
2. Supplies	11,400	11,400
3. Other Services and Charges	416,554	416,554
4. Capital Outlay	5,000	5,000
TOTAL	1,142,130	1,142,130

	ORIGINAL PUBLISHED BUDGET APPROPRIATION	BUDGET APPROVED BY CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
(o) INFORMATION SERVICES AGENCY	INFORMATION SERVICES FUND	
1. Personal Services	2,994,806	2,994,806
2. Supplies	26,218	26,218
3. Other Services and Charges	26,147,211	26,147,211
4. Capital Outlay	10,000	10,000
TOTAL	29,178,235	29,178,235

ARTICLE TWO
MISCELLANEOUS ANNUAL ESTIMATED REVENUES FOR THE CONSOLIDATED CITY OF
INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY

SECTION 2.01 Allocation of Miscellaneous Revenues of the Consolidated City

To defray the costs of government of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and its special taxing districts in accordance with the appropriations stated in **Section 1 of Fiscal Ordinance No. __, 2007**, the anticipated and estimated revenues of the Consolidated City and its special taxing districts are hereby allocated to the respective funds as herein stated; and in accordance with law and such allocations, the revenues, other than property taxes collectible in 2008, the portions of current balances and the revenues from taxation provided by the several levies fixed in Section 5 of this ordinance, are allocated to finance the amounts budgeted from each fund.

(a) CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND. The Consolidated CountyFund for 2008 shall consist of all balances at the end of fiscal 2007 from the Consolidated CountyFund, the Indianapolis Fleet Service Fund, ~~Office of Youth and Family Services Fund~~, Air Pollution Fund, Air Pollution Title V Fund, DPW General Fund, Permits Fund, DMD General Fund, Unsafe Building Fund, Junk Vehicles Fund, Historic Preservation Fund, IMAGIS Fund, City Rainy Day Fund, Housing Trust Fund, Groundwater Protection Fund, City Insurance Proceeds Fund, Dedicated Animal Care Special Projects Fund, and Dedicated Animal Care Donations Fund, available for transfer into said fund, a portion of the revenue from the CountyOption Income Tax, a portion of the receipts of state taxes on alcoholic beverages, cigarettes and inheritances, amounts received for citylicenses, Controller's fees, and all other miscellaneous revenues derived from sources connected with the operation of those portions of city government whose appropriations are out of the Consolidated CountyFund, and all amounts received by the levy of a rate of tax for this fund on all taxable propertylocated in the county as shown in Section 6.01 1(a) of Fiscal—the City General Fund or Consolidated CountyFund shall be deposited into the Consolidated CountyFund, and shall be considered in compliance with the legal requirement for deposits.

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008		
ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
SPECIAL TAXES		
Financial Institution Tax	164,781	330,000
License Excise Tax	692,059	1,440,000
Local Option Income Tax	0	774,769
Commercial Vehicle Excise Tax	118,035	235,000
ALL OTHER REVENUE		
Licenses and Permits	5,078,953	9,917,675
Charges for Services	4,321,953	8,840,921
Intergovernmental	5,397,409	9,533,285
Sale and Lease of Property	5,000	20,000
Fees for Services	1,692,000	3,616,434
Fines and Penalties	623,500	433,000
Miscellaneous	1,391,729	1,197,175
Intragovernmental	2,124,645	3,003,072
Payment in Lieu of Taxes (Waterworks)	120,800	120,800
Transfer from Parking Meter Fund	200,000	400,000
Transfer to Consolidated TIF	(122,500)	
Transfer to IMPD General Fund	(5,000,000)	(5,000,000)
Transfer to Marion County General Fund	(5,000,000)	
Transfer to Marion County ISA Fund (for Acella Automation)	(1,348,908)	
TOTAL	10,459,456	34,862,131

(I) CITY CUMULATIVE CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT FUND. The CityCumulative Capital Development Fund for 2008 shall consist of all balances at the end of fiscal 2007 available for transfer into said fund, those distribution of taxes allocated by state law on the basis of propertytaxes levied and assessed as this fund, and all amounts received by a levy of a rate of tax for this fund on all taxable propertylocated within the consolidated city as shown in section 6.01. City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. _____, 2007.

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES CITY CUMULATIVE CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT FUND FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008		
ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
SPECIAL TAXES		
Financial Institution Tax	78,348	150,615
County Option Income Tax	0	
Local Option Income Tax	0	510,836
Auto Excise Tax	448,953	972,388
Commercial Vehicle Excise Tax	67,151	124,981
ALL OTHER REVENUE		
Sale and Lease of Property		
Fees for Services		
Miscellaneous	232,000	410,000
Payment in Lieu of Taxes (Waterworks)	81,200	91,737
Transfer from Marion County Cumulative Fund	785,000	785,000
Transfer to Civil City Sinking Fund	(200,000)	
Transfer from Warren Township Fire Dept	758,997	
Transfer to Park District Sinking Fund	(400,000)	(175,000)
Transfer to Metropolitan Thoroughfare District Sinking Fund	(1,835,000)	(750,000)
Transfer to Redevelopment Revenue Bonds 2002 (KEP)	(255,000)	(510,000)
TOTAL	(238,351)	1,610,557

(n)

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES CITY GENERAL SINKING FUND FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008		
ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
SPECIAL TAXES		
Financial Institution Tax		
License Excise Tax		
Commercial Vehicle Excise Tax		
County Option Income Tax (for pension debt service)	4,220,219	21,378,657
ABC Gallonage Tax	5,800,000	
ALL OTHER REVENUE		
Miscellaneous	5,250	10,500
Transfer from City Cumulative Capital Development	200,000	
Transfer to/from Pension Stabilization Fund (8PA)	(2,000,000)	12,861,061
TOTAL	8,225,469	34,250,218

(o)

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES REDEVELOPMENT DISTRICT SINKING FUND FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008		
ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
SPECIAL TAXES		
Financial Institution Tax	40,000	90,000
Auto Excise Tax	250,000	580,000
Commercial Vehicle Excise Tax	35,000	78,000
Tax Increment	4,416,000	4,600,000
Miscellaneous (one time) Payment from United Airlines		7,000,000
settlement - (one time)		
ALL OTHER REVENUE		
Miscellaneous	50,000	75,000
TIF revenue from the State	590,000	604,698
Payment in Lieu of Taxes (Waterworks)	50,000	50,000
Transfer from Ameriplex TIF (3GT to 3EE)	2,194,000	5,900,000
Intergovernmental		
TOTAL	7,625,000	18,977,698

(p)

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES SANITARY DISTRICT SINKING FUND FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008		
ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
SPECIAL TAXES		
Financial Institution Tax		
License Excise Tax		
Commercial Vehicle Excise Tax	(35,277)	
ALL OTHER REVENUE		
Miscellaneous	95,000	150,000
Transfer from Sanitation Liquid Waste	3,150,000	6,300,000
Transfer from Barrett Law Capital Fund	1,000,000	2,000,000
	<u>4,209,723</u>	

ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008

SPECIAL TAXES		
Financial Institution Tax	46,000	85,377
Auto Excise Tax	200,000	369,698
Commercial Vehicle Excise Tax	29,000	57,968
ALL OTHER REVENUE		
Miscellaneous	75,000	149,836
Transfer from City Cumulative Fund	1,835,000	750,000
Payment in Lieu of Taxes (Waterworks)	29,000	29,000
TOTAL	2,214,000	1,441,879

(r)

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES METROPOLITAN PARK DISTRICT SINKING FUND FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008		
ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
SPECIAL TAXES		
Financial Institution Tax	15,265	15,265
Auto Excise Tax	88,444	88,444
Commercial Vehicle Excise Tax	9,129	9,129
ALL OTHER REVENUE		
Miscellaneous	16,000	17,000
Transfer from City Cumulative Fund	400,000	175,000
Payment in Lieu of Taxes (Waterworks)	1,100	1,100
	529,931	305,938

SECTION 2.02. Allocation of Miscellaneous Revenues of Marion County

For purposes of determining the necessary property tax levies to finance the 2008 annual budgets for offices and agencies of Marion County, the anticipated and estimated revenues (except anticipated property tax distributions) of the Consolidated City and Marion County for the calendar year 2008, are hereby allocated, in accordance with law and the authority of the Council, to the respective funds as follows:

(a)

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES COUNTY GENERAL FUND 10-100 FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008		
ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
SPECIAL TAXES		
Financial Institution Tax	400,000	1,053
License Excise Tax	3,000,000	7,254
Commercial Vehicle Excise Tax	360,000	833
Marion County Liens	7,000	14
Gross Income Taxes		
Treasurer's Surplus	800,000	1,300,000

County Option Income Tax	27,000,000	16,822,492
Local Option Income Tax (propertytax makeup)		4,350,054
Public Safety (income) Tax		32,000,000
Payment in Lieu of Taxes (Water Company)	600,000	624,580
Emergency 911	319,529	400,000
ABC Gallonage 2007 distribution		0
Riverboat Revenue Sharing	2,250,000	2,460,000
TOTAL TAXES		
FEES		
Marriage License	30,000	50,000
Domestic Relations Counseling	40,000	85,000
Photocopying Fees	15,530	28,910
Auditor's Fees	0	0
Clerk's Miscellaneous	100,000	600,000
Court Costs	1,610,186	3,200,000
County Coroner Fees	12,000	20,000
Coroner Death Certificate Fees	200,000	275,000
Autopsies Fees for Out of County Deaths	24,000	78,000
County Surveyor Fees	200	400
County Recorder Fees	1,179,896	3,000,000
Urinalysis Fees	0	0
Demand Fees	30,000	30,000
Sheriff's Service Fees	72,440	150,000
Support/Maintenance Docket Fees	18,000	348,361
Document Fees	119,257	185,700
NSF Check Fees	9,000	9,000
Late Surrender Fees	0	0
100% Cash Bond	11,695	23,390
Tax Search Fees	20,000	20,000
Tax Sale Administration Fees	300,000	300,000
Weed Lien Fines and Sewer Penalties	100,000	100,000
Incident Fees		
Stormwater Penalty Fees	130,000	130,000
DMD Fines	11,000	11,000
Health & Hospital Fines	15,000	15,000
Juvenile Probation Fees	0	0
Conditional Release Fee	100,000	100,000
Adult Probation Administrative Fee	239,210	0
Adult Probation User Fee	1,089,684	0
Traffic Ticket Fees	1,177,157	1,800,000
Traffic Ticket Late Fees	400,000	600,000
TOTAL FEES	7,054,255	11,159,761
FEDERAL		
Care of Federal Prisoners	819,545	1,500,000
Grant Reimbursement		
TOTAL FEDERAL	819,545	1,500,000
STATE		
Care of State Prisoners	481,295	700,000
Indirect Cost Recovery	210,000	250,000
Title IV-D Reimbursement	3,522,161	3,931,535
Title IV-D Incentive	600,000	600,000
School Lunch Program	102,000	165,000

80,000	160,000
<u>2,904,000</u>	<u>6,050,000</u>
7,899,456	<u>11,856,535</u>

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Transfer from Juvenile Debt Service Fund
Transfer from Marion County Rainy Day Fund
Transfer to City Sanitation Fund (repay jail bed loan)
Transfer to other funds
Transfer from City (Consolidated County Fund)
Dispatch Reimbursement (by MECA)
Reimbursement from Welfare Fund
Reimbursement from Interlocal Agreement

686,428	
<u>1,100,000</u>	
	1
<u>12,743,498</u>	<u>8,526,390</u>
5,513,028	<u>8,540,000</u>

25,000
7,500
228,000
38,300
0
2,000
0

<u>5,714,247</u>	<u>8,840,800</u>
<u>68,967,500</u>	<u>108,995,967</u>

(g)

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES AUDITOR'S ENDORSEMENT FEE FUND 20-212 FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008		
ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
FEES		
Auditor's Fees	150,000	275,000
Transfer to ISA fund for Property System	(1,000,000)	
TOTAL	(850,000)	275,000

(n)

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES LOCAL EMERGENCY PLANNING AND RIGHT TO KNOW FUND 20-295 FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008		
ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
FEES	27,000	50,000
TOTAL	27,000	50,000

(v)

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES COUNTY RECORDER'S PERPETUATION FUND 20-211 FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008		
ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
FEES		
County Recorder's Fees	331,409	570,023
Transfer to ISA fund for Property System	(1,500,000)	
TOTAL	(1,168,591)	570,023

(x)

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES INFORMATION SERVICES INTERNAL SERVICES FUND 50-500 FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008		
ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
CHARGE FOR SERVICE		
ISA Other	155,805	264,097
ISA County	7,733,767	14,300,000
ISA City	8,623,706	15,880,000
Telephones - City	660,000	838,374
Telephones - County	525,000	661,025
Telephones - Other	267,751	112,858
Other Reimbursements	1,141,498	
Transfer from Stormwater Management Fund (for Property System)	300,000	
Transfer from Redevelopment TIF Revenue Fund (for Property System)	500,000	
Transfer from Auditor's Endorsement Fund (for Property System)	1,000,000	
Transfer from County Sales Disclosure Fund (for Property System)	500,000	
Transfer from Enhanced Access Fund (for Property System)	400,000	
Transfer from County Records Perpetuation Fund (for Property System)	1,500,000	
Transfer from Consolidated County (permits subfund) for Acella Automation	1,348,908	
Miscellaneous	0	0
TOTAL	24,656,435	32,056,354

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY
ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE

FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES
ENHANCED ACCESS FUND 20-216
FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008

ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
FEES	262,793	388,946
Transfer to ISA fund for Property System	(400,000)	
TOTAL	(137,207)	388,946

(z)

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY
ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE
FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES
COUNTY SALES DISCLOSURE FUND 20-213
FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008

ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
FEES	50,000	105,000
Transfer to ISA fund for Property System	(500,000)	
TOTAL	(450,000)	105,000

(aa)

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY
ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE
FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES
CLERK'S PERPETUATION FUND 20-215
FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008

ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
FEES		
RECORDS FEE	31,891	63,800
DOCUMENT STORAGE FEE	98,126	196,300
TOTAL	130,017	260,100

(ab)

CONSOLIDATED CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY
~~ESTIMATE OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE~~
~~FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES~~
~~**AUDITOR'S ENDORSEMENT FEE FUND 20-212**~~
~~FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2008~~

ESTIMATED AMOUNTS TO BE RECEIVED	1-Jul-07 Through Dec. 31, 2007	Jan. 01, 2008 Through Dec. 31, 2008
FEES		
Auditor's Fees		
TOTAL		

ARTICLE THREE
ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS, ESTIMATED REVENUE AND TAXLEVIES OF THE CONSOLIDATED
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY

SECTION 3.01. Estimates of Funds to be Raised and Proposed Tax Levies for the Consolidated City

In accordance with law and the appropriations and allocations of revenues adopted for the calendar year 2007

(a)

ESTIMATE OF FUNDS TO BE RAISED AND PROPOSED CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND		
2008 NET ASSESSED VALUATION	40,346,265,485	
2007 BILLED NET ASSESSED VALUATION	40,346,265,485	
	PUBLISHED BUDGET	CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
FUNDS REQUIRED FOR REMAINDER OF FISCAL YEAR		
1. June 30 actual cash balance of present year	28,230,699	28,230,699
2. Necessary expenditures, July 1 to December 31 of	42,534,028	42,534,028
3. Additional appropriations necessary to be made July 1 to	107,522	53,761
4. Outstanding temporary loans to be paid and not included	0	0
5. Total expenditures for current year (add lines 2-4)	42,641,550	42,587,789
6. Remaining property taxes to be collected present year	17,481,733	17,481,733
7. Miscellaneous revenue to be received July 1 through	11,808,364	10,459,456
8. Estimated revenue to be received July 1 to December 31	29,290,097	27,941,189
9. Estimated December 31 cash balance, present year	14,879,246	13,584,099
10. Total budget estimate for January 1 to December 31 of	56,197,626	55,917,824
11. Miscellaneous revenue for January 1 to December 31 of	34,862,131	41,762,131
12. Property tax to be raised from January 1 to December	20,939,712	20,939,712
13. Operating balance (not in excess of expenses January 1	14,483,463	20,368,118
14. Estimated December 31 cash balance, of incoming year	14,483,463	20,368,118
Net tax rate on each one hundred dollars of taxable		
Current year tax rate	0.0519	0.0519
Proposed tax rate for incoming year	0.0519	0.0519

(l)

ESTIMATE OF FUNDS TO BE RAISED AND PROPOSED CITY CUMULATIVE CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT FUND		
2008 NET ASSESSED VALUATION	37,517,324,939	
2007 BILLED NET ASSESSED VALUATION	37,517,324,939	
	PUBLISHED	CITY-COUNTY

	BUDGET	COUNCIL
FUNDS REQUIRED FOR REMAINDER OF FISCAL YEAR		
1. June 30 actual cash balance of present year	(62,583)	(62,583)
2. Necessary expenditures, July 1 to December 31 of	10,218,934	10,218,934
3. Additional appropriations necessary to be made July 1 to	0	0
4. Outstanding temporary loans to be paid and not included	0	0
5. Total expenditures for current year (add lines 2-4)	10,218,934	10,218,934
6. Remaining property taxes to be collected present year	12,628,305	12,628,305
7. Miscellaneous revenue to be received July 1 through	(1,582,348)	(238,351)
8. Estimated revenue to be received July 1 to December 31	11,045,957	12,389,954
9. Estimated December 31 cash balance, present year	764,440	2,108,437
10. Total budget estimate for January 1 to December 31 of	11,870,050	11,870,050
11. Miscellaneous revenue for January 1 to December 31 of	825,557	1,610,557
12. Property tax to be raised from January 1 to December	14,596,654	14,596,654
13. Operating balance (not in excess of expenses January 1	4,316,601	6,445,598
14. Estimated December 31 cash balance, of incoming	4,316,601	6,445,598
Net tax rate on each one hundred dollars of taxable		
Current year tax rate	0.0368	0.0368
Proposed tax rate for incoming year	0.0389	0.0389

(n)

ESTIMATE OF FUNDS TO BE RAISED AND PROPOSED		
CITY GENERAL SINKING FUND		
2008 NET ASSESSED VALUATION	37,517,324,939	
2007 BILLED NET ASSESSED VALUATION	37,517,324,939	
	PUBLISHED BUDGET	CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
FUNDS REQUIRED FOR REMAINDER OF FISCAL YEAR		
1. June 30 actual cash balance of present year	7,738,171	7,738,171
2. Necessary expenditures, July 1 to December 31 of	10,076,110	10,076,110
3. Additional appropriations necessary to be made July 1 to	0	0
4. Outstanding temporary loans to be paid and not included	0	0
5. Total expenditures for current year (add lines 2-4)	10,076,110	10,076,110
6. Remaining property taxes to be collected present year	0	0

7. Miscellaneous revenue to be received July1 through	2,425,469	8,225,469
8. Estimated revenue to be received July1 to December 31	2,425,469	8,225,469
9. Estimated December 31 cash balance, present year	87,530	5,887,530
10. Total budget estimate for January 1 to December 31 of	39,661,061	39,661,061
11. Miscellaneous revenue for January 1 to December 31 of	41,050,218	34,250,218
12. Property tax to be raised from January 1 to December	0	0
13. Operating balance (not in excess of expenses January1	1,476,687	476,687
14. Estimated December 31 cash balance, of incoming	1,476,687	476,687
Net tax rate on each one hundred dollars of taxable		
Current year tax rate	0.0000	0.0000
Proposed tax rate for incoming year	0.0000	0.0000

SECTION 3.02. Estimates of Funds to be Raised and Proposed Tax Levies for Marion County

In accordance with law and the appropriations and

(a)

ESTIMATE OF FUNDS TO BE RAISED AND PROPOSED COUNTY GENERAL FUND <u>10-100</u>		
2008 NET ASSESSED VALUATION	40,346,265,485	
2007 BILLED NET ASSESSED VALUATION	40,346,265,485	
	PUBLISHED BUDGET	CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
FUNDS REQUIRED FOR REMAINDER OF FISCAL YEAR		
1. June 30 actual cash balance of present year	7,777,812	7,777,812
2. Necessary expenditures, July 1 to December 31 of	156,759,905	156,799,771
3. Additional appropriations necessary to be made July 1 to	(962,000)	(2,462,000)
4. Outstanding temporary loans to be paid and not included	0	0
5. Total expenditures for current year (add lines 2-4)	155,797,905	154,337,771
6. Remaining property taxes to be collected present year	86,787,543	86,787,543
7. Miscellaneous revenue to be received July1 through	60,340,235	66,314,230
8. Estimated revenue to be received July 1 to December 31	147,127,778	153,101,773
9. Estimated December 31 cash balance, present year	(892,315)	6,541,814
10. Total budget estimate for January 1 to December 31 of	229,502,137	228,782,057
11. Miscellaneous revenue for January 1 to December 31 of	113,656,400	108,995,967
12. Property tax to be raised from January 1 to December	116,969,018	116,969,018

13. Operating balance (not in excess of expenses January 1 to December 31)	230,966	3,724,742
14. Estimated December 31 cash balance, of incoming	230,966	3,724,742
Net tax rate on each one hundred dollars of taxable		
Current year tax rate	0.2914	0.2914
Proposed tax rate for incoming year	0.2899	0.2899

(c)

ESTIMATE OF FUNDS TO BE RAISED AND PROPOSED AUDITOR'S ENDORSEMENT FEE 20-212		
2008 NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
2007 BILLED NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
	PUBLISHED BUDGET	CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
FUNDS REQUIRED FOR REMAINDER OF FISCAL YEAR		
1. June 30 actual cash balance of present year	1,392,278	1,392,278
2. Necessary expenditures, July 1 to December 31 of	24,000	24,000
3. Additional appropriations necessary to be made July 1 to	0	0
4. Outstanding temporary loans to be paid and not included	0	0
5. Total expenditures for current year (add lines 2-4)	24,000	24,000
6. Remaining property taxes to be collected present year	0	0
7. Miscellaneous revenue to be received July 1 through	0	(850,000)
8. Estimated revenue to be received July 1 to December 31	0	(850,000)
9. Estimated December 31 cash balance, present year	1,368,278	518,278
10. Total budget estimate for January 1 to December 31 of	170,750	0
11. Miscellaneous revenue for January 1 to December 31 of	0	275,000
12. Property tax to be raised from January 1 to December	0	0
13. Operating balance (not in excess of expenses January 1 to December 31)	1,197,528	793,278
14. Estimated December 31 cash balance, of incoming	1,197,528	793,278
Net tax rate on each one hundred dollars of taxable		
Current year tax rate		
Proposed tax rate for incoming year		

(n)

ESTIMATE OF FUNDS TO BE RAISED AND PROPOSED LOCAL EMERGENCY PLANNING AND RIGHT TO KNOW FUND 20-295		
2008 NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
2007 BILLED NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
	PUBLISHED	CITY-COUNTY

	BUDGET	COUNCIL
FUNDS REQUIRED FOR REMAINDER OF FISCAL YEAR		
1. June 30 actual cash balance of present year	293,009	293,009
2. Necessary expenditures, July 1 to December 31 of	70,104	70,104
3. Additional appropriations necessary to be made July 1 to	0	0
4. Outstanding temporary loans to be paid and not included	0	0
5. Total expenditures for current year (add lines 2-4)	70,104	70,104
6. Remaining property taxes to be collected present year	0	0
7. Miscellaneous revenue to be received July 1 through	0	27,000
8. Estimated revenue to be received July 1 to December 31	0	27,000
9. Estimated December 31 cash balance, present year	222,905	249,905
10. Total budget estimate for January 1 to December 31 of	1,000	100,000
11. Miscellaneous revenue for January 1 to December 31 of	0	50,000
12. Property tax to be raised from January 1 to December	0	0
13. Operating balance (not in excess of expenses January 1	221,905	199,905
14. Estimated December 31 cash balance, of incoming	221,905	199,905
Net tax rate on each one hundred dollars of taxable		
Current year tax rate		
Proposed tax rate for incoming year		

(u)

ESTIMATE OF FUNDS TO BE RAISED AND PROPOSED		
COUNTY RECORDER'S PERPETUATION FUND 20-211		
2008 NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
2007 BILLED NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
	PUBLISHED BUDGET	CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
FUNDS REQUIRED FOR REMAINDER OF FISCAL YEAR		
1. June 30 actual cash balance of present year	5,076,281	5,076,281
2. Necessary expenditures, July 1 to December 31 of	1,645,435	1,645,435
3. Additional appropriations necessary to be made July 1 to	0	0
4. Outstanding temporary loans to be paid and not included	0	0
5. Total expenditures for current year (add lines 2-4)	1,645,435	1,645,435
6. Remaining property taxes to be collected present year	0	0

7. Miscellaneous revenue to be received July 1 through	331,409	(1,168,591)
8. Estimated revenue to be received July 1 to December 31	331,409	(1,168,591)
9. Estimated December 31 cash balance, present year	3,762,255	2,262,255
10. Total budget estimate for January 1 to December 31 of	0	2,403,700
11. Miscellaneous revenue for January 1 to December 31 of	570,023	570,023
12. Property tax to be raised from January 1 to December	0	0
13. Operating balance (not in excess of expenses January 1	4,332,278	428,578
14. Estimated December 31 cash balance, of incoming	4,332,278	428,578
Net tax rate on each one hundred dollars of taxable		
Current year tax rate		
Proposed tax rate for incoming year		

(w)

ESTIMATE OF FUNDS TO BE RAISED AND PROPOSED INFORMATION SERVICES INTERNAL SERVICES FUND		
2008 NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
2007 BILLED NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
	PUBLISHED BUDGET	CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
FUNDS REQUIRED FOR REMAINDER OF FISCAL YEAR		
1. June 30 actual cash balance of present year	4,400,405	4,400,405
2. Necessary expenditures, July 1 to December 31 of	20,431,359	20,431,359
3. Additional appropriations necessary to be made July 1 to	5,622,800	5,622,800
4. Outstanding temporary loans to be paid and not included	0	0
5. Total expenditures for current year (add lines 2-4)	26,054,159	26,054,159
6. Remaining property taxes to be collected present year	0	0
7. Miscellaneous revenue to be received July 1 through	19,107,527	24,656,435
8. Estimated revenue to be received July 1 to December 31	19,107,527	24,656,435
9. Estimated December 31 cash balance, present year	(2,546,227)	3,002,681
10. Total budget estimate for January 1 to December 31 of	0	29,178,235
11. Miscellaneous revenue for January 1 to December 31 of	32,056,354	32,056,354
12. Property tax to be raised from January 1 to December	0	0
13. Operating balance (not in excess of expenses January 1	29,510,127	5,880,800
14. Estimated December 31 cash balance, of incoming	29,510,127	5,880,800

Net tax rate on each one hundred dollars of taxable		
Current year tax rate		
Proposed tax rate for incoming year		

(x)

ESTIMATE OF FUNDS TO BE RAISED AND PROPOSED ENHANCED ACCESS FUND 20-216		
2008 NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
2007 BILLED NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
	PUBLISHED BUDGET	CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
FUNDS REQUIRED FOR REMAINDER OF FISCAL YEAR		
1. June 30 actual cash balance of present year	842,676	842,676
2. Necessary expenditures, July 1 to December 31 of	101,432	101,432
3. Additional appropriations necessary to be made July 1 to	0	0
4. Outstanding temporary loans to be paid and not included	0	0
5. Total expenditures for current year (add lines 2-4)	101,432	101,432
6. Remaining property taxes to be collected present year	0	0
7. Miscellaneous revenue to be received July 1 through	262,793	(137,207)
8. Estimated revenue to be received July 1 to December 31	262,793	(137,207)
9. Estimated December 31 cash balance, present year	1,004,037	604,037
10. Total budget estimate for January 1 to December 31 of	21,273	100,000
11. Miscellaneous revenue for January 1 to December 31 of	388,946	388,946
12. Property tax to be raised from January 1 to December	0	0
13. Operating balance (not in excess of expenses January 1	1,371,710	892,983
14. Estimated December 31 cash balance, of incoming	1,371,710	892,983
Net tax rate on each one hundred dollars of taxable		
Current year tax rate		
Proposed tax rate for incoming year		

(y)

ESTIMATE OF FUNDS TO BE RAISED AND PROPOSED CAPITAL LEASE FUND 30-340		
2008 NET ASSESSED VALUATION	40,346,265,485	
2007 BILLED NET ASSESSED VALUATION	40,346,265,485	
	PUBLISHED BUDGET	CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
FUNDS REQUIRED FOR REMAINDER OF FISCAL YEAR 2007		

1. June 30 actual cash balance of present year	(778,383)	(778,383)
2. Necessary expenditures, July 1 to December 31 of present year, to be made from appropriation unexpended	1,118,700	1,118,700
3. Additional appropriations necessary to be made July 1 to December 31 of present year	0	0
4. Outstanding temporary loans to be paid and not included in lines 2 or 3	0	0
5. Total expenditures for current year (add lines 2-4)	1,118,700	1,118,700
6. Remaining property taxes to be collected present year	271,020	271,020
7. Miscellaneous revenue to be received July 1 through Dec. 31 of present year	2,013,000	2,013,000
8. Estimated revenue to be received July 1 to December 31 (add lines 6-7)	2,284,020	2,284,020
9. Estimated December 31 cash balance, present year (add lines 1, 8 and subtract line 5)	386,937	386,937
10. Total budget estimate for January 1 to December 31 of incoming year	90,200	2,007,000
11. Miscellaneous revenue for January 1 to December 31 of incoming year	2,025,000	2,025,000
12. Property tax to be raised from January 1 to December 31 of incoming year	282,424	282,424
13. Operating balance (not in excess of expenses January 1 to June 30, miscellaneous revenue for same period)	2,604,161	687,361
14. Estimated December 31 cash balance, of incoming year	2,604,161	687,361
Net tax rate on each one hundred dollars of taxable property		
Current year tax rate	0.0007	0.0007
Proposed tax rate for incoming year	0.0007	0.0007

(z)

ESTIMATE OF FUNDS TO BE RAISED AND PROPOSED CLERK'S PERPETUATION FUND 20-215		
2008 NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
2007 BILLED NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
	PUBLISHED BUDGET	CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
FUNDS REQUIRED FOR REMAINDER OF FISCAL YEAR 2007		
1. June 30 actual cash balance of present year	558,097	558,097

2. Necessary expenditures, July 1 to December 31 of present year, to be made from appropriation unexpended	276,369	276,369
3. Additional appropriations necessary to be made July 1 to December 31 of present year	0	0
4. Outstanding temporary loans to be paid and not included in lines 2 or 3	0	0
5. Total expenditures for current year (add lines 2-4)	276,369	276,369
6. Remaining property taxes to be collected present year	0	0
7. Miscellaneous revenue to be received July 1 through Dec. 31 of present year	130,017	130,017
8. Estimated revenue to be received July 1 to December 31 (add lines 6-7)	130,017	130,017
9. Estimated December 31 cash balance, present year (add lines 1, 8 and subtract line 5)	411,745	411,745
10. Total budget estimate for January 1 to December 31 of incoming year	103,350	426,092
11. Miscellaneous revenue for January 1 to December 31 of incoming year	260,100	260,100
12. Property tax to be raised from January 1 to December 31 of incoming year	0	0
13. Operating balance (not in excess of expenses January 1 to June 30, miscellaneous revenue for same period)	568,495	245,753
14. Estimated December 31 cash balance, of incoming year	568,495	245,753
Net tax rate on each one hundred dollars of taxable property		
Current year tax rate		
Proposed tax rate for incoming year		

(ad)

ESTIMATE OF FUNDS TO BE RAISED AND PROPOSED COUNTY SALES DISCLOSURE FEE 20-213		
2008 NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
2007 BILLED NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
	PUBLISHED BUDGET	CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
FUNDS REQUIRED FOR REMAINDER OF FISCAL YEAR 2007		
1 June 30 actual cash balance of present year	582,873	582,873

2. Necessary expenditures, July 1 to December 31 of present year, to be made from appropriation unexpended	576	576
3. Additional appropriations necessary to be made July 1 to December 31 of present year	0	0
4. Outstanding temporary loans to be paid and not included in lines 2 or 3	0	0
5. Total expenditures for current year (add lines 2-4)	576	576
6. Remaining property taxes to be collected present year	0	0
7. Miscellaneous revenue to be received July 1 through Dec. 31 of present year	50,000	(450,000)
8. Estimated revenue to be received July 1 to December 31 (add lines 6-7)	50,000	(450,000)
9. Estimated December 31 cash balance, present year (add lines 1, 8 and subtract line 5)	632,297	132,297
10. Total budget estimate for January 1 to December 31 of incoming year	0	0
11. Miscellaneous revenue for January 1 to December 31 of incoming year	105,000	105,000
12. Property tax to be raised from January 1 to December 31 of incoming year	0	0
13. Operating balance (not in excess of expenses January 1 to June 30, miscellaneous revenue for same period)	737,297	237,297
14. Estimated December 31 cash balance, of incoming year	737,297	237,297
Net tax rate on each one hundred dollars of taxable property		
Current year tax rate		
Proposed tax rate for incoming year		

(af)

ESTIMATE OF FUNDS TO BE RAISED AND PROPOSED		
JUVENILE INCARCERATION DEBT SERVICE 30-350		
2008 NET ASSESSED VALUATION	40,346,265,485	
2007 BILLED NET ASSESSED VALUATION	40,346,265,485	
	PUBLISHED BUDGET	CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
FUNDS REQUIRED FOR REMAINDER OF FISCAL YEAR 2007		
1 June 30 actual cash balance of present year	743,201	743,201

2. Necessary expenditures, July 1 to December 31 of present year, to be made from appropriation unexpended	19,890,951	19,890,951
3. Additional appropriations necessary to be made July 1 to December 31 of present year	0	0
4. Outstanding temporary loans to be paid and not included in lines 2 or 3	0	0
5. Total expenditures for current year (add lines 2-4)	19,890,951	19,890,951
6. Remaining property taxes to be collected present year	17,810,162	18,348,202
7. Miscellaneous revenue to be received July 1 through Dec. 31 of present year	913,444	913,444
8. Estimated revenue to be received July 1 to December 31 (add lines 6-7)	18,723,606	19,261,646
9. Estimated December 31 cash balance, present year (add lines 1, 8 and subtract line 5)	(424,144)	113,896
10. Total budget estimate for January 1 to December 31 of incoming year	9,500	19,890,951
11. Miscellaneous revenue for January 1 to December 31 of incoming year	536,000	1,536,000
12. Property tax to be raised from January 1 to December 31 of incoming year	18,354,951	18,354,951
13. Operating balance (not in excess of expenses January 1 to June 30, miscellaneous revenue for same period)	19,457,307	113,896
14. Estimated December 31 cash balance, of incoming year	19,457,307	113,896
Net tax rate on each one hundred dollars of taxable property		
Current year tax rate	0.0460	0.0460
Proposed tax rate for incoming year	0.0455	0.0455

(ag)

ESTIMATE OF FUNDS TO BE RAISED AND PROPOSED		
Title III Requirement Fund 20-281		
2008 NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
2007 BILLED NET ASSESSED VALUATION		
	PUBLISHED BUDGET	CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
FUNDS REQUIRED FOR REMAINDER OF FISCAL YEAR		
1. June 30 actual cash balance of present year		2,247,763
2. Necessary expenditures, July 1 to December 31 of		0
3. Additional appropriations necessary to be made July 1 to		0

4. Outstanding temporary loans to be paid and not included		0
5. Total expenditures for current year (add lines 2-4)		0
6. Remaining property taxes to be collected present year		0
7. Miscellaneous revenue to be received July 1 through		0
8. Estimated revenue to be received July 1 to December 31		0
9. Estimated December 31 cash balance, present year		2,247,763
10. Total budget estimate for January 1 to December 31 of		2,247,762
11. Miscellaneous revenue for January 1 to December 31 of		0
12. Property tax to be raised from January 1 to December		0
13. Operating balance (not in excess of expenses January 1		1
14. Estimated December 31 cash balance, of incoming		1
Net tax rate on each one hundred dollars of taxable		
Current year tax rate	0.0000	0.0000
Proposed tax rate for incoming year	0.0000	0.0000

ARTICLE FOUR MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS AND ALLOCATIONS

SECTION 4.01. State, Local and Federal Grants

(a) Grant Applications Authorized. The Mayor of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis is hereby authorized to make such applications as may be required by federal or state laws or regulations in order to apply for, and receive, such state or federal grants or payments as are anticipated, allocated and approved for expenditure by inclusion in this ordinance.

(b) Community Development Grant Funds. Until this Council has approved the amounts, locations and programmatic operation of each project to be funded from Community Development Grant Funds, the amounts appropriated herein for such purposes shall not be encumbered or spent.

(c) Public Purpose Local Grants. The sums appropriated for public purpose grants as part of this ordinance include the following listed recipients. No funds shall be spent for other public purpose grants until this Council by resolution approves the amount and identity of the recipient of each grant.

Indiana Univ. Educational Television Cooperative- \$41,050 (from the Consolidated County Fund)

Indiana Reading and Information Services- \$20,000 (from the Consolidated County Fund)

(d) Arts Grants. The total sum of One Million Five Hundred Forty Three Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$1,543,500) in Section 1 (i), Department of Parks and Recreation (Consolidated County Fund) is set aside for funding arts grants to be made by the Arts Council of Indianapolis. Grants from this set aside shall be coordinated between the Department of Parks and Recreation and the Arts Council of Indianapolis. Grants made under this section shall be considered public purpose local grants and subsection (c) shall apply. These grants shall be subject to annual audits by the City Internal Audit Agency.

SECTION 4.02. Appropriations of Certain Allocated Expenses

As part of the appropriations authorized for the various offices by Section 1 and included under "3. Other Services and Charges" may be amounts allocated for payment of certain intergovernmental agency charges, including City-County Building rent, Juvenile Center rent, jail rent, telephone services, Information Services Agency charges, Corporation Counsel charges, Jail II rent, legal settlements, and fuel and other Indianapolis Fleet Services charges. The Office of Finance and Management is authorized to exercise appropriate and sufficient control to ensure that each city and county office, agency, and division maintains an adequate balance within its budget to pay such intergovernmental charges.

SECTION 4.03. Allocation of County Option Income Tax Revenue (COIT)

Pursuant to IC 6-3.5-6-19 (d), the City-County Council may determine the distribution to be made of the revenue received by the City of Indianapolis and County of Marion as a single taxing unit from the County Option Income Tax. The City-County Council hereby determines that from the certified distribution of One Hundred Seventy Nine Million Seven Hundred Fourty Two Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Nine Dollars, (\$179,742,259) after the County Auditor deposits Nine Million Nine Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$9,900,000) in the Metropolitan Emergency Communications Fund, retains the homestead credit distribution of Twenty Two Million Dollars (\$22,000,000) and distributes the shares of other units entitled to distributions, the balance for the Consolidated City and County of One Hundred Fourty Six Million One Hundred Nine Thousand Eight Hundred Six Dollars (\$146,109,806) is hereby allocated and shall be distributed by the County Auditor and City Controller as follows:

- (1) To the County General Fund, the sum of \$16,822,492
- (2) To the Metropolitan Police Department General Fund, the sum of \$58,890,000 *57,790,000*
- (3) To the Fire Special Service District Fund, the sum of \$14,300,000
- (4) To the City General Sinking Fund (for pension debt service), the sum of \$18,478,657; and *21,378,457*
- (5) To the Fire Pension Fund, the sum of \$14,708,657 *6,908,657*
- (6) To the Police Pension Fund, the sum of \$22,910,000 *22,010,000*
- (7) To the City Cumulative Capital Fund, the sum of \$0
- (8) *County* *6,900,000*

SECTION 4.04. Requirement and Allocation of Payments in Lieu of Taxes Revenues and Compensation for Lost Revenues.

(a) Pursuant to IC 36-3-2-10, the City-County Council may require the payments in lieu of taxes (PILOT) from certain public entities. Included within the list of public entities are a Capital Improvement Board, an Airport Authority and a wastewater treatment facility.

(b) The City-County Council requires the wastewater treatment facility to pay PILOTS in two (2) equal installments on May 10, 2008 and November 10, 2008, in the amount of Four Million, Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$4,500,000) each, which are hereby allocated and shall be distributed by the City Controller as follows:

- (1) To the IMPD Fund, the sum of \$5,400,000;
- (2) To the Fire Service District Fund, the sum of \$3,600,000.

SECTION 4.05. Assistance to Indianapolis Public Housing Agency and Parks and Recreation Department

Pursuant to IC 36-7-19, the City-County Council authorizes aid to the Indianapolis Public Housing Agency by exempting it from solid waste collection charges and fees and to the Department of Parks and Recreation by exempting it from sewer user charges and fees.